

# Virtualization approaches compared

<i>Architecture</i>	<i>Pros</i>	<i>Cons</i>	<i>Products</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Fabric-based split-path architecture</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• High performance</li><li>• High scalability</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Expensive</li><li>• High degree of vendor lock-in</li><li>• More limited in advanced storage features</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EMC Corp. Invista and RecoverPoint</li><li>• Fujitsu Eternus VS900</li><li>• LSI Corp. StoreAge Storage Virtualization Manager</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Storage controller-based</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• High performance</li><li>• High scalability</li><li>• Rich set of advanced storage features</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Array vendor lock-in</li><li>• Typically considered only by customers who have already standardized on the array vendor</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Hitachi Data Systems Universal Storage Platform V and Universal Storage Platform VM</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• In-band appliances</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Simplicity</li><li>• Cost-effective</li><li>• Rich set of advanced storage features</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Higher latency than fabric-based products; more likely to hit a scalability limit than switch-based virtualization products</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• DataCore Software Corp. SANmelody and SANsymphony</li><li>• FalconStor Software Inc. IPStor</li><li>• IBM Corp. SAN Volume Controller</li></ul>